

Social Networks in International Public Administrations

Panel Chair: Alexandra Goritz, Freie Universität Berlin, alexandra.goritz@fu-berlin.de.

Abstract:

To propose to the Section: 21st Century International Bureaucracy: People, Power and Performance

During the last decade, scholarly interest in International Public Administrations (IPAs) has been increasing steadily. Today, IPAs are recognized as autonomous actors in international public policy-making (Bauer, Knill, & Eckhard, 2017). The research focus is now on measuring different kinds of autonomy of action and analysing how different IPAs make use of it to influence multilateral policy outputs and outcomes (Bauer & Ege, 2016). Traditional methods to measure an IPA's autonomy and influence mostly rely on attributional data, such as an IPA's formal mandate, its budget, staff numbers or offices (see e.g., Biermann & Siebenhüner, 2009). While the external environment of an IPA has been identified as a crucial factor (Bauer, Knill, & Eckhard, 2017), only little research has been conducted on how to conceptualize and measure the interplay between the external environments, in particular the network an IPA is embedded in, and an IPA's attributes (Jörgens, Kolleck, & Saerbeck, 2016; Kolleck, Well, Sperzel, & Jörgens, 2017).

This Panel aims to add to this new strand of research and invites papers that analyse IPAs through a social network perspective. Social network analysis (SNA) is becoming increasingly popular in social sciences because it offers new explanations, based on another type of information – relational data (Borgatti, Mehra, Brass, & Labianca, 2009). The relations of an actor and its position within a network reveal information about the possibilities to exert influence within the policy-making process. Thus, we expect to gain important insights into the workings and influence of IPAs by adding a layer of relational data to IPA research.

We invite studies that cover a broad range of sectors (e.g., human rights, environment, and health), geographies (e.g., regional or global), and network analysis techniques (e.g., discourse network analysis, inferential network analyses). Analyses might focus for example on the relationships between IPAs and their member states, on IPAs and other organizations, or on networks within an IPA. Possible research questions might be:

- • What positions do IPAs occupy in these networks? What are the consequences of these structural positions for the IPA and other organizations?
- • What internal network structures make IPAs especially influential/autonomous/powerful in policy-making?
- • How are the networks between an IPA and its member states structured?
- • How do different IPAs and their networks compare to each other?

Please submit your paper proposal (abstract of 250-300 words) by **February 16th, 2019** to alexandra.goritz@fu-berlin.de.

Key words: public administration, social networks, public policy, international organizations

References

- Bauer, M., & Ege, J. (2016). Bureaucratic autonomy of international organizations' secretariats. *Journal of European Public Policy*, 23(7), 1019–1037. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13501763.2016.1162833>
- Bauer, M., Knill, C., & Eckhard, S. (Eds.). (2017). *Public Sector Organizations. International Bureaucracy: Challenges and Lessons for Public Administration Research*. London: Springer.
- Biermann, F., & Siebenhüner, B. (Eds.). (2009). *Managers of Global Change: The Influence of International Environmental Bureaucracies*. Cambridge, MA: The MIT Press.
- Borgatti, S. P., Mehra, A., Brass, D. J., & Labianca, G. (2009). Network analysis in the social sciences. *Science*, 323(5916), 892–895.
- Jörgens, H., Kolleck, N., & Saerbeck, B. (2016). Exploring the Hidden Influence of International Treaty Secretariats: Using Social Network Analysis to Analyse the Twitter Debate on the 'Lima Work Programme on Gender'. *Journal of European Public Policy*, 23(7), 979–998. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13501763.2016.1162836>
- Kolleck, N., Well, M., Sperzel, S., & Jörgens, H. (2017). The Power of Social Networks: How the UNFCCC Secretariat Creates Momentum for Climate Education. *Global Environmental Politics*, 94(1), 106–126. https://doi.org/10.1162/GLEP_a_00428